1 D. Joshua Voorhees, State Bar No. 241436 Aparna L. Reddy, State Bar No. 242895 ENDORSED 2 San Francisco Communication Court HIRST & CHANLER LLP 2560 Ninth Street 3 Parker Plaza, Suite 214 FEB 0 8 2007 Berkeley, CA 94710-2565 4 Telephone: (510) 848-8880 GORDON PARK-LI, Clerk 5 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118 BY: JOCELYN C. ROQUE 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER 7 Karleen M. O'Connor 8 STOEL RIVES, LLP 111 Sutter St, Suite 700 9 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 617-8900 10 Facsimile: (415) 676-3000 11 Attorneys for Defendant 12 FINGERHUT DIRECT MARKETING, INC. 13 14 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 15 CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO 16 UNLIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 17 18 RUSSELL BRIMER, Case No. CGC-06-449026 19 Plaintiff, 20 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF ٧. 21 CONSENT JUDGMENT FINGERHUT DIRECT MARKETING, INC.: 22 and DOES 1 through 150, inclusive, Date: February 8, 2007 23 Time: 9:30 a.m. Defendant. 24 Dept.: 302 Judge: Hon. Quidachay 25 PATRICK J. MAHONEY 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO TERMS OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

1	In the above-entitled action, Plaintiff RUSSELL BRIMER and Defendant FINGERHUT	
2	DIRECT MARKETING, INC., having agreed through their respective counsel that judgment be	
3	entered pursuant to the terms of the Consent Judgment entered into by the parties, and after	
4	issuing an Order Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment on	
5	February 8, 2007.	
6	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that pursuant to Code of	
7	Civil Procedure section 664.5, judgment is entered in accordance with the terms of the Order	
8	Approving Proposition 65 Settlement Agreement and Consent Judgment, between the parties.	
9		
10	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
11		TATELOIC E MARIONEV
12	Dated: February 8, 2007	PATRICK J. MAHONEY
13		Hon. Quidachay JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		,
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
7		
8		